Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 76 Filed 08/11/15 Page 1 of 11

PETITION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT

AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT District Southern District of New York							
	ne of Movant Robert Faiella	Prisoner No. 09829-049	Case No. 1:14cr243-01(JSR)				
Pla	Place of Confinement Satellite Prison Camp - Edgefield						
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. Robert Faiella (name under which convicted)						
	MOTION 15CV 6511						
1.	Name and location of court which entered the judgment United States District Court Southern Di	of conviction under attack					
	United States District Court Southern Di	ISLITED OF NEW TOTA	7.5				
2.	Date of judgment of conviction October 13, 2013		205				
3.	Length of sentence 48 months		E TH				
4:	4. Nature of offense involved (all counts) 18 U.S.C. §1960(b)(1)(B)						
			P PE				
		B : 38	W m				
5.	What was your plea? (Check one) (a) Not guilty (b) Guilty (c) Nolo contendere If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and not a guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details:						
	* 1						
	*						
6.	If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one) (a) Jury (b) Judge only						
	Did you testify at the trial? Yes □ No □						
	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes No						
	s						

Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 76 Filed 08/11/15 Page 2 of 11

AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

-	COLUMN TWO	
9.	If you	did appeal, answer the following:
ř	(a) N	ame of court
	(b) Re	esult
	(c) Da	ate of result
10.		than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications, or us with respect to this judgment in any federal court? No 🔀
11.	If you	answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information:
	(a) (1	Name of court
	(2)	Nature of proceeding
	a a	
	(3)	Grounds raised
	(- /	
,,		
	(4)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
		Yes □ No 😨
	(5)	Result
	(6)	Date of result
((b) As	to any second petition, application or motion give the same information:
	(1)	Name of court
	(2)	Nature of proceeding
	3	
	(3)	Grounds raised
		y
	1	

Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 76 Filed 08/11/15 Page 3 of 11

AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

-	-				NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.			The state of the s
		(4)) Did you rece Yes □	eive an evidentiary l No□	hearing on you	petition, application or r	notion?	
		(5)	Result				<u>.h.</u>	
		(6)	Date of resul	lt				
	(c)	OI I	d you appeal, to motion? First petition.	* *	ral court having Yes □	jurisdiction, the result of a \mathbb{N}_{0}	action taken on any petition,	application
			Second petiti		Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
	(d)	If y	ou did not app	eal from the adver-	se action on an	petition, application or n	notion, explain briefly why y	ou did not:
		33						

			ñ					
						0		
		1-					2	
		-						
		æ						
		-						
		2						
		-						
12	Unite	ed St		ize <i>briefly</i> the <i>facts</i> sup			the constitution, laws or trea attach pages stating addition	
	Cauti	ion:	If you fail at a later da		ounds in this n	otion, you may be barred	d from presenting additiona	al grounds
	stater other	ment thar	t preceded by a n those listed. F	letter constitutes a s	separate ground I raise in this mo	for possible relief. You may tion all available grounds (r	s for relief in these proceed y raise any grounds which you relating to this conviction) on	ı may have
			*	_	•	ne or more of these ground gh (j) or any one of the gr	ds for relief, you must allege ounds.	facts. The
				by plea of guilty whe and the consequent		lly induced or not made vo	oluntarily or with understand	ling of the
	(b) C	onvi	ction obtained	by use of coerced co	onfession.			
					1.00		*	

Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 76 Filed 08/11/15 Page 4 of 11

AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

(d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i)	Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure. Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest. Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination. Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant. Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy. Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled. Denial of effective assistance of counsel. Denial of right of appeal.
Α.	Ground one: ineffective assistance of counsel
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)
В.	Ground two: denied due process prights
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)
C.	Ground three:
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)

Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 76 Filed 08/11/15 Page 5 of 11

	9
D.	Ground four:
,	
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)
	<u> </u>
	the grounds listed in 12A, B, C, and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not so presente
and give	your reasons for not presenting them:
·	
9	
Do you ha	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 😠
Do you hayes Yes Give the r	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 屎 name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein
Do you hay Yes Give the r	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 😠
Do you hayes Give the re (a) At pr	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 录 name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein
Do you hayes Give the re (a) At pr	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 录 name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein
Do you hay Yes Give the reconstruction (a) At pre-	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 录 name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein
Do you hay Yes Give the reconstruction (a) At preconstruction (b) At arrangement (b) At arrangement (c)	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 😡 name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein eliminary hearing raignment and plea
Do you hay Yes Give the reconstruction (a) At preconstruction (b) At arrangement (b) At arrangement (c)	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No 🖫 name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein eliminary hearing
Do you have Yes Give the result of the resu	ave any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? No name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein eliminary hearing raignment and plea

Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 76 Filed 08/11/15 Page 6 of 11

AU	243	(Rev. 2/95)					
	(e)	On appeal					
	(f)	In any post–conviction proceeding					
	(g)	On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding					
16.	арр	ere you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at proximately the same time?					
17.	17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? Yes □ No □ **						
	(a)	If so, give name and location of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future:					
	(b)	Give date and length of the above sentence:					
	, ,	Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to served in the future? Yes No No					
	Who	Wherefore, movant prays that the Court grant petitioner relief to which he or she may be entitled in this proceeding.					
		Signature of Attorney (if any)					
-	dec	clare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (Date) (Date) Signature of Movant					

	Uni for the	ted States Southern	District Court RECEIVED District of New York AGMY PRO SE OFFICE
United States of	America)	2015 AUG 18 P 1: 331
).	Case No.: 1:14cr243-01(JSR)
V .)))	
Robert Faiella,)	Motion to Vacate, Set Aisde, or Correct Defendant's Sentence Pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
Defendant)	§2255

Defendant, Robert Faiella, respectfully submits this Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct his Sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2255.

Procedural History

On January 20, 2015, Robert Faiella pled guilty to a violation of 18 U.S.C. §1960(b)(1)(B). Faiella was sentenced by the Court to a term of 48 months imprisonment. He now serves his sentence at the Satellite Prison Camp Edgefield, in Edgefield, South Carolina.

Factual Summary

Prior to 2015, Faiella operated a business wherein he exchanged money from his customers in return for "bitcoins", a commonly known internet currency. Faiella's customers typically emailed him to arrange the transaction and Faiella completed the transaction in the same manner. Although Faiella believed he did not have to register or license his business, it was determined, and Faiella agreed, that his work did qualify as a "money transmitting business" under federal law. Faiella pled guilty to this crime and sought to be sentenced under the applicable guideline.

Legal Argument

Faiella moves before this Court for an order correcting or reducing his sentence on the ground that he received the ineffective assistance of counsel, which resulted in a longer sentence than he should have received.

The Sixth Amendment to the Constitution guarantees the effective assistance of counsel to those charged with crimes. To prevail on a claim for ineffective assistance of counsel a moving party must show that (1) his attorney's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness; and (2) due to counsel's errors, the defendant was prejudiced. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984). Prejudice occurs when an error results in a longer sentence than would otherwise have been imposed. Glover v. United States, 531 U.S. 198 (2001).

Faiella's counsel breached the reasonable standard when he failed to ensure that Faiella was sentenced under the proper guideline. Such failure prejudiced Faiella because he received a longer sentence than he should have.

Faiella pled guilty to a violation of 18 U.S.C. §1960(b)(1)(B). When a Court is faced with a criminal defendant who has been convicted it is to recommend a sentence as required by 18 U.S.C. §3553(a)(4) and the United States Sentencing Guidelines. The first step that the sentencing court is to take is set out in U.S.S.G. §1B1.1, which states: "The court shall determine . . . the offense guideline section from Chapter Two (Offense Conduct) applicable to the offense of conviction." See §1b1.1(a)(1). Because this first step determines the base offense level and the ultimate sentence, getting this fact correct is vital to the sentencing process; in fact, doing this incorrectly is a procedural error. The right to due process requires that a defendant be sentenced upon true information. Torres v. United States, 140 F.3d 392 (2nd Cir. 1998).

Faiella was sentenced under the wrong guideline, which led to a much higher sentence. Based on his conviction Faiella should have been sentenced under \$2S1.3 and not \$2S1.1 as the Court chose. (Compare Commentary, Statutory Provisions from \$2S1.1 and \$2S1.3.) Though the Court departed from the range in the Guideline, the departure should have been from a much lower starting

point. Had this been done properly Faiella would have received a sentence less than half as long as the one he received.

Faiella's counsel breached his duty of reasonable representation when he did not object to the improper application of §2S1.1 to Faiella. The application of the incorrect guideline to Faiella resulted in a much higher sentence than Faiella would have received, prejudicing him. As a result, both prongs of the Strickland test have been satisfied.

Applying the appropriate guideline to Faiella's conviction would have resulted in a guideline range of 24 to 30 months due to an offense level of 17 (base level of 6, plus 14 for the value of the funds, less 3 levels for assuming responsibility in a timely manner). As it was, the Court was presented with an offense level of 25 and a guideline range of 57 to 71 months. Faiella submits that if the Court were faced with a lower guideline range it would likely have granted a reduction below that range.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, Faiella submits that he is entitled to an order of this Court vacating his sentence so that he can be sentenced under the appropriate guideline. When that re-sentence is performed Faiella asks that the Court consider his severe back disability and his need for spinal surgery, which are well documented in the Court's records, and either reduce his sentence to time-served or order any further incarceration to take place on home confinement.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Faiella

July 31, 2015

Robert Faiella Federal Prison Camp P.O. Box 725 Edgefield, SC 29824 RECEIVED
SONY PRO SE OFFICE
2015 AUG 18 P 1: 33

Clerk of Court United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St New York, NY 1007-1312

Re: Motion Filing

Case No.: 1:14cr243-01(JSR)

Dear sir or Madam:

I am enclosing my $\,$ original §2255 Motion for filing in this Court.

If you need anything from me contact me at the address above.

Regards,

Robert Faiella

